PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

RECEIVED

BOROVOY, Roger, S. Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street Suite 500

Redwood City, CA 94063-1526 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE MAY 1 9 2006

Fish & Richardson

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
11 May 2006 (11.05.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

07844-622WO1

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2004/035857

International filing date (day/month/year) 27 October 2004 (27.10.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year) 31 October 2003 (31.10.2003)

Applicant

ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Dorothée Mülhausen

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 87 40

** - U 22 740 IA 35

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 07844-622WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 helow		
International application No. PCT/US2004/035857	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 October 2004 (27.10.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31 October 2003 (31.10.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED				

i.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Scarching Authority under Rule $44 bis.1(a)$.				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Вох №. П	Priority			
	Вох №. П	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			

	Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Dorothée Mülhausen
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

ted: 17-05-2005

PATENT C ISA237-1 \TION TREATY

US0435857

From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY		REC'D 2 4 MAY 2005
To:					PCMPO PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220				INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
1	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below	=
l	national application		International filing date (c 27.10.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.10.2003
7	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F1/00, G06F17/60				
1	Icant OBE SYSTEMS	INCORPORAT	ΓED		
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the folk	owing items:	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion		
{	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
ļ	⊠ Box No. III	Non-establishr	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	f invention		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			novelty, inventive step or industrial ement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
ł	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	lication	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
	submit to the IP	EA a written replicate of mailing of	y together, where approp	oriate, with amendmer	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detai	ls, see notes to l	Form PCT/ISA/220.		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Harms, C

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7476



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/035857

_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	1	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. typ	e of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:				
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. tim	e of filling/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	t	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto las been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Addit	ional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/035857

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
×	the entire international application,			
	l claims Nos.			
because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further	detai	is	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/035857

ad Item III:

- Closest prior art: The common-place distribution of digital content in a distributed network comprising client(s) and server(s). The permissions to access the digital content are either distributed with the digital content and/or retrieved as tickets from a remote server.
- Difference: The additional features of claims 1-45 feature the distribution of the digital content, the versioning control of the digital control, the (enforced) update of the digital content, different language versions of a digital content, the specification of the particular access restriction to the digital content, the granularity of access of such access restriction, the customisation of the digital content to a particular user and different formats of the digital content.
- Technical Problem: The skilled person is an expert in a technical field and cannot be expected to contribute anything of a non-technical nature.

 The above differences refer to purely abstract schemes not going beyond business methods or administration methods as such.

 These non-technical aspects of the invention are therefore, in a realistic situation, given to the skilled person as part of the requirements specification for the task given to him. The technical problem is may thus be regarded as providing a technical implementation of the non-technical business and administration scheme on the basis of the system of the closest prior art.
- Assessment of Inventive Step: The particular mapping of the technical means used to implement the non-technical business and administration methods as defined by claim 1-46 is straightforward in that the used technical means serve their well known purposes to implement the individual steps of the non-technical method in a one-to-one fashion. The skilled person would therefore not require any inventive technical skills to arrive at the claimed solutions.

 In view of the above claims 1-46 lack an inventive step; Article 33(3) PCT.
- 5 Further Objections
- 5.1 Independent claims 1, 16, 23, 31, 39 and 45 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(l) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 5.2 The features of the claims 1-46 are not provided with reference signs placed in

ited: 17-05-2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/035857

parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT). This applies both to the preamble and the characterising part.